

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/806,464	05/10/2001	Alain Goux	P20784	1435	
75	590 01/17/2003				
Elzbieta Chlopecka			EXAMINER		
Pollock Vande Sande & Amernick PO Box 19088			COLE, ELIZABETH M		
Washington, DC 20036-3425			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771	C	
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2003	8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				mx-8				
•	Δ	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/806,464	GOUX ET AL.					
· Office Action Summar	<b>y</b>	xaminer	Art Unit					
		lizabeth M Cole	1771					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>16 Aug</u>	<u>gust 2001</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This a	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 11-30 is/are pending i	n the application							
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	• •	from consideration						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  ⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-30</u> is/are rejected.								
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to re		lection requirement						
Application Papers	ostrollori aria/or ci	conon requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to t	by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 August 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is object	ed to by the Exam	iner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	)							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a o	daim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None	of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the pri</li> </ol>	ority documents h	ave been received.						
2. Certified copies of the pri	ority documents h	ave been received i	n Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreig 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cl		* *						
Attachment(s)	- •	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14	ew (PTO-948) 49) Paper No(s) <u>6</u> .		iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No( e of Informal Patent Application (PTO					

Serial Number: 09/806,404

Art Unit: 1771

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness 1. rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 10-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suematsu. 2.

U.S. Patent No. 4,439,482. Suematsu discloses that it is known to form adhesive tapes comprising warps and wefts of polyester fibers which are coated with pressure sensitive adhesive wherein the warp and weft fibers have different deniers so that they have different strengths in order to allow the tape to be easily torn. See col. 1, line 38 - col. 2, line 5. Suematsu differs from the claimed invention because it does not disclose the claimed dtex/cm, strength or dtex. However, since Suematsu does teach that the warp and weft should have different strength and denier in order to produce a tape which is easily tearable, Suematsu recognizes the denier of a fiber as a result effective variable. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected the particular dtex, dtex/cm and strength of the fibers through the process of routine experimentation in order to arrive at a tape having the optimal tearability and strength. The use of protective release layers is conventional in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (703) 308-0037. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (703) 308-2414.

Art Unit: 1771

Inquiries of a general nature may be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

The fax number for official faxes is (703) 872-9310. The fax number for official after final faxes is (703) 872-9311. The fax number for unofficial faxes is (703) 305-5436.

Clicks M & C Elizabeth M. Cole Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

e.m.c January 15, 2003